1 2 3 4 5	WILLIAM L. STERN (CA SBN 96105) WStern@mofo.com CLAUDIA M. VETESI (CA SBN 233485) CVetesi@mofo.com LISA A. WONGCHENKO (CA SBN 281782) LWongchenko@mofo.com MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP 425 Market Street San Francisco, California 94105-2482 Telephone: 415.268.7000			
67	Facsimile: 415.268.7522 Attorneys for Defendants			
8	DOLE FOOD COMPANY, INC., DOLE PACKAGED FOODS, LLC			
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
11	SAN JOSE DIVISION			
12				
13	CHAD BRAZIL, an individual, on his own	Case No. CV12-01831 LHK		
14	behalf and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	STATEMENT OF RECENT DECISION		
15	Plaintiff,	Hearing Date: December 6, 2012		
16	V.	Time: 1:30 p.m. Judge: Hon. Lucy H. Koh		
17		Action Filed: April 11, 2012		
18	DOLE FOOD COMPANY, INC., DOLE PACKAGED FOODS, LLC,			
19	Defendants.			
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
	STATEMENT OF RECENT DECISION CASE NO. C12-01831 LHK sf-3209069			

1	Defendants Dole Food Company, Inc. and Dole Packaged Foods, LLC ("Dole"), through
2	their undersigned counsel, submit this Statement of Recent Decision to bring to the Court's
3	attention a relevant judicial opinion that has been published since Dole filed its reply ¹ in this case:
4	Reid v. Johnson & Johnson, No. 11cv1310 L (BLM), 2012 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 133408 (S.D. Cal.
5	Sept. 18, 2012). The decision is attached as Exhibit A.
6	Plaintiff in <i>Reid</i> alleged that Johnson & Johnson's product Benecol (similar to margarine)
7	was misbranded because its labels and website contained certain health claims that violated FDA
8	regulations and California's Sherman Law. (See generally Reid Class Action Complaint, attached
9	as Exhibit B.) He further alleged that the health claims were false, and that they rendered
10	Benecol an improperly labeled drug. (Id. ¶¶ 100-107.) Plaintiff Reid asserted causes of action
11	for violations of (1) the UCL unlawful business practices prong, (2) the UCL unfair and
12	fraudulent business practices prong, (3) the FAL, and (4) the CLRA. See Reid, 2012 U.S. Dist.
13	LEXIS 133408 at *6.
14	The court found that Plaintiff lacked standing to bring any of his claims. <i>Id.</i> , at *12. In so
15	holding, Judge Lorenz agreed with Johnson & Johnson that Mr. Reid had not adequately pled
16	reliance: "the Court finds, even at the pleading stage, that it is not possible for the reasonable
17	consumer to be deceived by Benecol's 'No Trans Fat' and 'No Trans Fatty Acids' labels" where
18	the ingredient list shows that the product contains "a small amount of partially hydrogenated oils
19	and trans fats." <i>Id.</i> , at *11-12.
20	The court also determined that all of Plaintiff's claims would be preempted, as they
21	"essentially ask the Court to rule on issues that the FDA has not yet finalized and seek[] to
22	impose a different, outdated interim rule requirement" <i>Id.</i> , at *21-22.
23	Before conducting its analysis, the court stated that Rule 9(b) applied to all Plaintiff's
24	claims because his claims were based on allegations that Johnson & Johnson had engaged in
25	fraudulent labeling of its product. <i>Id.</i> , at *7-8.
26	

27

28

¹ Dole's reply was filed September 14, 2012. (Docket No. 37.)

1	Dated: October 22, 2012	WILLIAM L. STERN CLAUDIA M. VETESI
2		LISA A. WONGCHENKO MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP
3		
4		By: /s/ William L. Stern William L. Stern
5		
6		Attorneys for Defendants DOLE FOOD COMPANY, INC., DOLE PACKAGED FOODS, LLC
7		DOLE I ACKAGED POODS, LEC
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

STATEMENT OF RECENT DECISION CASE NO. C12-01831 LHK sf-3209069

1	PROOF OF SERVICE BY MAIL (Code Civ. Proc. §§ 1013(a), 2015.5)		
2	(Codo Civ. 1100. gg 1015(a), 2013.0)		
3	I declare that I am employed with the law firm of Morrison & Foerster LLP, whose address is 425 Market Street, San Francisco, California 94105-2482; I am not a party to the within cause;		
4	I am over the age of eighteen years and I am readily familiar with Morrison & Foerster's practice for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service and know that in the ordinary course of Morrison & Foerster's business practice the document described below will be deposited with the United States Postal Service on the same date that it is placed at Morrison & Foerster with postage thereon fully prepaid for collection and mailing.		
5			
6			
7	I further declare that on the date hereof I served a copy of:		
8	STATEMENT OF RECENT DECISION		
9			
10	on the following by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope addressed as follows for collection and mailing at Morrison & Foerster LLP, 425 Market Street, San Francisco,		
11	California 94105-2482, in accordance with Morrison & Foerster's ordinary business practices:		
12			
13	Keith F. Fleischman Frank Karam J. Price Coleman Coleman Law Firm		
14	Ananda N. Chaudhuri 1100 Tyler Avenue, Suite 102 Fleischman Law Firm Oxford, MS 38655		
15	565 Fifth Avenue, 7 th Floor New York, NY 10017		
16	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.		
17	Executed at San Francisco, California, this 22 nd day of October, 2012.		
18			
19			
20			
21	Janie Fogel (i)		
22	(typed) (signature) (signature)		
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			